

BB MODE ANGULAR POWER SPECTRUM OF CMB FROM MASSIVE GRAVITY

N. Malsawmtluangi ^{*}and P. K. Suresh [†]

*School of Physics, University of Hyderabad.
P. O. Central University, Hyderabad 500046. India.*

Abstract

The primordial massive gravitational waves are placed in the squeezed vacuum state and corresponding BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum of the cosmic microwave background is obtained for various slow roll inflation models. The angular power spectrum is compared with the limit of BICEP2/Keck and Planck joint analysis data and the hybrid inflation model is found favorable.

1 Introduction

According to the standard model of cosmology, the dark energy accounts for the current accelerating expansion phase of the universe. However, several alternative ideas have also been proposed to explain this accelerated expansion, and granting mass to graviton is one of them [1, 2]. The idea of massive graviton was initiated with the linear theory for a non-zero rest mass particle with spin-2 [3], but endowed with mass, the graviton acquires two additional vector modes and a scalar mode compared to its massless counterpart. The parameters in the mass term are carefully tuned, otherwise a sixth degree of freedom with the ghost mode would appear. In the zero mass limit, all these degrees of freedom due to the mass term are supposed to decouple and it is expected to recover the general relativistic (GR) case. However, it is found that the vector modes decouple from the tensor perturbations in the massless limit, while the scalar mode retains a strong coupling to the trace of stress-energy tensor, thus causing a discontinuity called the vDVZ discontinuity [4, 5]. The discontinuity problem can be resolved in the non-linear approach to massive gravity [6]. But it is found that the non-linear theory reintroduces the ghost mode [7], however the non-linear solutions to this problem are found very useful [8, 10].

There are several approaches to massive gravity [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. In the present work, we consider a particular model of the massive gravity in which GR is modified by the Lorentz-violating mass terms [11, 15, 16], but still maintaining the 3D Euclidean symmetry. This model is proposed as an analog of the Higgs mechanism in GR where the graviton acquires mass as a result of spontaneous breaking of the Lorentz symmetry. The advantage of this theory is that it is free from the ghost modes, vDVZ discontinuity and at low strong coupling scale. Further, the

^{*}e-mail: tei.naulak@uohyd.ac.in

[†]e-mail: pkssp@uohyd.ernet.in

vector and scalar modes behave exactly like in GR case and hence the modification to gravity arises only from the two tensor modes whose dispersion relation is massive and relativistic.

The primordial gravitational waves were created during inflationary period of the universe due a mechanism known as parametric amplification of the zero-point quantum oscillations [17, 18]. Hence, the primordial gravitational waves can be placed in a particular quantum state called squeezed vacuum state [19]. It is possible that the initial vacuum state with no graviton can be evolved into multi-particle quantum state through the parametric amplification process. Further, the primordial gravitational waves created during the inflationary period can also be amplified by stimulated emission process thus there is a possibility of existing gravitons with thermal distribution [20]. Therefore the combined effects of squeezing and thermal features may be observed for the primordial gravitational waves. It is believed that the primordial gravitational waves have left its own signature on the cosmic microwave background (CMB) in the form of a polarization, called the B-mode polarization of CMB [21, 22, 23, 24]. Thus, if the primordial gravitational waves are considered as massive, then its effect is expected to reflect on the various angular power spectra of CMB (see, for instance [12]) and in particular on the BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum of CMB [13]. Recently, the angular power spectra of CMB for the massive primordial gravitational waves are studied without taking into account of the thermal feature and the existence of these waves in the squeezed vacuum state, but similar study for the massless counterpart is carried out and are compared with various observational results of CMB [25, 26]. Hence, in the present work the primordial massive gravitational waves are placed in the squeezed vacuum state with thermal feature and their effects on the BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum of CMB for various slow roll inflationary models are studied. The obtained results are compared with the joint analysis data of the BICEP2/Keck Array 150 GHz and Planck 353 GHz maps [27, 28].

2 Massive Gravitational Waves and Power Spectrum

The action for massive gravity is given by [16]

$$\begin{aligned} A_{MG} &= A_{EH} + A_{GS} \\ &= \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [-m_{pl}^2 R + \Lambda^4 F(Z^{ij})], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the first term corresponds to the Einstein-Hilbert action and the second term is the Goldstone action which leads to the violation of the Lorentz symmetry. In eq (1), F is an arbitrary function of the metric components, their derivatives and the Goldstone fields. Z^{ij} can be constructed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{ij} &= X^\beta W^{ij}, \\ X &= \Lambda^{-4} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi^0 \partial_\nu \varphi^0, \\ W^{ij} &= \Lambda^{-4} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi^i \partial_\nu \varphi^j - \frac{V^i V^j}{X}, \\ V^i &= \Lambda^{-4} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi^0 \partial_\nu \varphi^i, \end{aligned}$$

where β is a constant free parameter, Λ characterizes the cutoff energy scale for low energy effective theory and $\varphi^0(x)$, $\varphi^i(x)$ are the four scalar fields.

The vacuum solution corresponding to the flat FLRW metric for the equation (1) is given by

$$\phi^0 = \Lambda^2 t, \quad \phi^i = \Lambda^2 x^i, \quad (2)$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the flat space metric with the signature -2. The perturbations after the spontaneous Lorentz symmetry breaking can be written as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = S^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

where S is the scale factor and $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric perturbation whose components are given by

$$\delta g_{00} = 2S^2 \phi, \quad \delta g_{0i} = S^2 (N_i - \partial_i B) \quad (4)$$

$$\delta g_{ij} = S^2 (-h_{ij} - \partial_i Q_j - \partial_j Q_i + 2(\psi \delta_{ij} - \partial_i \partial_j E)). \quad (5)$$

Here h_{ij} is tensor field, N_i, Q_i are vector fields and ϕ, ψ, B, E are scalar fields.

The Lagrangian for the second-order perturbation can be obtained by expanding $\sqrt{-g + \delta g}$, $X(g + \delta g)$, $V^i(g + \delta g)$, $W^{ij}(g + \delta g)$ and $F(g + \delta g)$ in terms of metric perturbation, then using the unitary gauge eq.(2) and eq (1) we get

$$L_m = \frac{m_{pl}^2}{2} (m_0^2 \delta g_{00}^2 + 2m_1^2 \delta g_{0i}^2 - m_2^2 \delta g_{ij}^2 + m_3^2 \delta g_{ii} \delta g_{jj} - 2m_4^2 \delta g_{00} \delta g_{ii}), \quad (6)$$

where the mass parameters m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 are related to the function $F(Z^{ij})$ and its derivatives [29] as

$$\begin{aligned} m_0^2 &= \frac{\Lambda^4}{m_{pl}^2} [X F_X + 2X^2 F_{XX}], \\ m_1^2 &= \frac{2\Lambda^4}{m_{pl}^2} \left[-X F_X - W F_W + \frac{1}{2} X W F_{VV} \right], \\ m_2^2 &= \frac{2\Lambda^4}{m_{pl}^2} [W F_W - 2W^2 F_{WW2}], \\ m_3^2 &= \frac{\Lambda^4}{m_{pl}^2} [W F_W + 2W^2 F_{WW1}], \\ m_4^2 &= -\frac{\Lambda^4}{m_{pl}^2} [X F_X + 2X W F_{XW}], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} W &= -1/3 \delta_{ij} W^{ij}, \quad F_X = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X}, \quad F_{XX} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial X^2}, \\ F_W \delta_{ij} &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial W^{ij}}, \quad F_{VV} \delta_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial V^i \partial V^j}, \\ F_{WW1} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + F_{WW2} (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}) &= \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial W^{ij} \partial W^{kl}}, \\ F_{XW} \delta_{ij} &= \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial X \partial W^{ij}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The term m_2 is the mass of the graviton and hence here onward it is denoted as m_{gw} .

The linearized Einstein field equation for the massive gravitational waves is given by [14]

$$h_{ij}^{(m)''} + 2H h_{ij}^{(m)'} - (\partial_i^2 - S^2 m_{gw}^2) h_{ij}^{(m)} = 0. \quad (9)$$

where $H = \frac{S'}{S}$ is the Hubble parameter and m_{gw} is the mass of the gravitational wave. The tensor perturbation satisfies the conditions, $h_{ij}^j = 0$ and $h_i^i = 0$.

The Fourier mode expansion of the tensor perturbation can be expressed as

$$h_{ij}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}, \zeta) = B \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{\sqrt{2E_k}} \sum_{\sigma=+, \times} [h_k^{(m)\sigma}(\zeta) a_k^\sigma \chi_{ij}^\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + h_k^{(m)*\sigma}(\zeta) a_k^{\dagger\sigma} \chi_{ij}^{*\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}], \quad (10)$$

where $B = \sqrt{\frac{16\pi l_{pl}^2}{(2\pi)^3}}$, $l_{pl} = \sqrt{G}$ Planck's length, σ the polarization mode, ζ is the conformal time defined by $d\zeta = \frac{dt}{S}$, E_k is the energy of the mode, $a_k^{\dagger\sigma}$ and a_k^σ are respectively the creation and annihilation operators and they satisfy the following commutation relationships

$$\begin{aligned} [a_k^\sigma, a_{k'}^{\dagger\sigma'}] &= \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta^3(k - k'), \\ [a_k^\sigma, a_{k'}^{\sigma'}] &= [a_k^{\dagger\sigma}, a_{k'}^{\dagger\sigma'}] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The term χ_{ij}^σ in eq (10) is the two linear polarization states and satisfy the following conditions

$$\chi_{ij}^\sigma \delta^{ij} = 0, \quad \chi_{ij}^\sigma k^i = 0, \quad \chi_{ij}^\sigma \chi^{\sigma'ij} = 2\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}, \quad \chi_{ij}^\sigma(-\mathbf{k}) = \chi_{ij}^\sigma(\mathbf{k}).$$

These are called as plus (+) polarization and cross (×) polarization.

The equation (9) can be rewritten in terms of h_k as follows:

$$h_k^{(m)''}(\zeta) + 2Hh_k^{(m)'}(\zeta) + (k^2 + S^2 m_{gw}^2)h_k^{(m)}(\zeta) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Let the mode function ν be given by

$$\nu_k^{(m)}(\zeta) = S(\zeta)h_k^{(m)}(\zeta), \quad (13)$$

thus using eqs. (13) and (12), we get

$$\nu_k^{(m)''} + \left(k^2 + S^2 m_{gw}^2 - \frac{S''}{S}\right) \nu_k^{(m)} = 0. \quad (14)$$

Hence the dispersion relation can be written as

$$\frac{k^2}{S^2} + m_{gw}^2 = w^2, \quad (15)$$

where w is the effective frequency. Here onward the index m is dropped for convenience.

The two-point correlation function of h_{ij} gives the power spectrum, thus the power spectrum for massive gravitational waves is given by [30]

$$P(w_o) \equiv \frac{d}{d \ln w_o} \langle 0 | h_{ij} h^{ij} | 0 \rangle, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\langle 0 | h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \zeta) h^{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \zeta) | 0 \rangle = \frac{B^2}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 |h_k(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dk}{k}, \quad (17)$$

is the variance of the field and the subscript o indicates present time.

This gives

$$P(w_o) = \frac{w_o^2}{w_o^2 - m_{gw,o}^2} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |h_k(\zeta_o)|^2, \quad (18)$$

where

$$k = S_o \sqrt{w_o^2 - m_{gw,o}^2},$$

$$\frac{d}{d \ln w_o} \left(\frac{dk}{k} \right) = \frac{w_o^2}{w_o^2 - m_{gw,o}^2}.$$

Using Eqs.16, 17 and 18, we get the power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves as

$$\begin{aligned} P(w_o) &= \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} A^2(k) \left(\frac{k' a_k}{k a_o} \right)^2 \frac{w_k a_k}{w_o a_o} \\ &= \left(\frac{k' a_k}{k a_o} \right)^2 \frac{w_k a_k}{w_o a_o} P(k), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $k' = a_o w_o$ and $P(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} A^2(k)$ is the primordial power spectrum, here $A(k)$ is the amplitude of the GW mode at the time of its generation and is given by

$$A(k) = \frac{H_{hc}}{m_{pl} k^{3/2}}, \quad (20)$$

where H_{hc} is Hubble's parameter at horizon crossing.

The power spectrum for the massless case with same frequency can be written as

$$P_{GR}(w_o) = \left(\frac{a_{k'}}{a_o} \right)^2 P(k') \quad (21)$$

where $P(k') = \frac{k'^3}{2\pi^2} A^2(k')$ is the primordial power spectrum for the massless case, here $A(k') = \frac{H_{hc}}{m_{pl} k'^{3/2}}$.

Using Eq.19 and Eq.21, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P(w_o)}{P_{GR}(w_o)} &= \frac{P(k)}{P(k')} \left(\frac{k' a_k}{k a_{k'}^{GR}} \right)^2 \frac{w_k a_k}{w_o a_o} \\ &= \frac{P(k)}{P(k')} Z^2(w_o), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $Z(w_o)$ is the enhancement factor and can be expressed as

$$Z(w_o) = \frac{k' a_k}{k a_{k'}^{GR}} \sqrt{\frac{w_k a_k}{w_o a_o}}. \quad (23)$$

At the time of horizon re-entry, denoted by ζ_{re} , the mass term m_{gw} is dominant for the long wavelength modes, hence the dispersion relation becomes

$$w_k \simeq m_{gw}(\zeta_{re}). \quad (24)$$

The frequency for these modes is less than that at horizon crossing, i.e., $k \ll k_{hc}$. The time of re-entry ζ_{re} is independent of the momentum and all modes re-enter the horizon simultaneously when the cosmic expansion rate is comparable to the effective mass of the gravitational waves,

$$H(\zeta_{re}) \simeq m_{gw}(\zeta_{re}).$$

Hence, we have $\zeta_{re} \simeq \zeta_{hc}$, $a_{re} \simeq a_{hc}$, $H_{re} \simeq H$ and $w_{hc} \simeq m_{gw}(\zeta_{hc}) = \frac{k_{hc}}{a_{hc}}$.

Assuming that the mass term dominates the frequency modes till present time, we have

$$w_o \simeq m_{gw,o} = \frac{k_o}{a_o},$$

$$k' \simeq k_o.$$

The enhancement factor for long wavelength modes becomes

$$Z(w_o) \simeq \frac{a_{hc}}{a_{k_o}^{GR}} \sqrt{\frac{k_{hc}}{k_o}} \left(\frac{w_o^2}{m_{gw,o}^2} - 1 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (25)$$

The power spectrum is affected by the enhancement factor as

$$\frac{P(w_o)}{P_{GR}(w_o)} = \frac{P(k)}{P(k')} Z^2(w_o). \quad (26)$$

Several attempts have been made to constrain the mass of gravitational waves. For example, the analysis of coupling between bound galaxy clusters gives an upper bound of the gravitational wave mass, 2×10^{-62} g ($\equiv 2.713 \times 10^{-15}$ Hz) [31]. The analysis of the dynamics of the solar system estimated the mass of gravitational wave as 7.68×10^{-55} g ($\equiv 1.0417 \times 10^{-7}$ Hz) [32]. The observations of stellar-mass compact inspiral and supermassive black hole binary inspiral have also estimated the upper limit for gravitational wave mass and are respectively given by 3.678×10^{-62} g ($\equiv 4.988 \times 10^{-15}$ Hz) and 3.678×10^{-65} g ($\equiv 4.988 \times 10^{-18}$ Hz) [33]. The observations of the orbital decay of binary pulsars PSR B1913+16 and PSR B1534+12, estimated the GW mass as 1.4×10^{-52} g ($\equiv 1.898 \times 10^{-5}$ Hz) [34]. By considering the arrival times of gravitational waves and optical light from white dwarf binaries, the gravitational wave mass is estimated to be less than 10^{-56} g ($\equiv 1.356 \times 10^{-9}$ Hz) [35]. From the cosmological parameters, the upper limit on the GW mass has been obtained as 4.5×10^{-66} g ($\equiv 6.10376 \times 10^{-19}$ Hz) [36].

3 Massive GWs and BB-mode angular power spectrum of CMB

Cosmic inflation is the most widely known scenario proposed for resolving certain problems and issues associated with the standard model of cosmology [37, 38]. Several inflationary models have been proposed [39, 40, 41]. It is believed that inflation gave rise to density perturbations and tensor perturbations. Density perturbations seeded the formation of the large scale structures in the universe and the tensor perturbations represent the gravitational waves which propagate as ripples in the fabric of space time.

The inflaton, a homogeneous scalar field, is considered as responsible for cosmic inflation in most models of inflation. The equation of motion of the inflaton field ϕ is given by

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V' = 0, \quad (27)$$

where V is the potential of the inflation and $H = \frac{\dot{S}}{S}$ is the Hubble parameter, which can be determined in terms of the energy density of the inflaton field

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + V(\phi),$$

with the Friedmann equation

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3m_{pl}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \right). \quad (28)$$

Under the slow-roll limit, $\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} \ll V$, the Hubble parameter can be written as

$$H^2 \simeq \frac{V}{3m_{pl}^2}. \quad (29)$$

The slow roll condition is usually characterized by the slow-roll parameters ϵ and η and are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\equiv \frac{m_{pl}^2}{2} \left(\frac{V'}{V} \right)^2, \\ \eta &\equiv m_{pl}^2 \left(\frac{V''}{V} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Inflation persist as long as the slow-roll conditions are satisfied, $\epsilon \ll 1$ and $|\eta| \ll 1$. The tensor spectral index is related to the slow-roll parameter as

$$n_T \simeq -2\epsilon, \quad (31)$$

and the tensor-to-scalar ratio is given in terms of the parameter ϵ as [42, 43, 44]

$$r \equiv \frac{P_T}{P_S} \simeq 16\epsilon, \quad (32)$$

its value depends up on the inflation model and the above relation with eq.(31) is known as the consistency relation [45, 46, 47]. In the above expression P_T and P_S are respectively the power spectrum of the tensor and scalar perturbations and they can be expressed in terms of inflaton potential as

$$P_T \simeq \frac{1}{3\pi^2 m_{pl}^4} V \Big|_{k=SH}, \quad (33)$$

$$P_S \simeq \frac{1}{12\pi^2 m_{pl}^6} \frac{V^3}{V'^2} \Big|_{k=SH}, \quad (34)$$

here $k = SH$ implies that H and V are computed at the time when the mode with wave number k crosses the horizon. The scalar power spectrum is taken to be $P_S \simeq 2.43 \times 10^{-9}$.

The quadratic chaotic inflation model can be described with potential for the scalar field given by [48],

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2, \quad (35)$$

where m is the mass of the scalar field. The value of slow-roll parameter for this model is $\epsilon = 8.26 \times 10^{-3}$ and the tensor spectral index is $n_T \simeq -1.65 \times 10^{-2}$. The tensor-to-scalar ratio is $r = 0.132$.

The quartic chaotic inflation model is usually characterized by the potential [49],

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{4} \lambda \phi^4, \quad (36)$$

where λ is the self-coupling of the scalar field. The slow roll parameter for this model is $\epsilon = 1.626 \times 10^{-2}$ and the tensor spectral index is $n_T = -3.25 \times 10^{-2}$. Thus the tensor-to-scalar ratio is $r = 0.26$.

The potential for the new inflation model is given by

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda\phi^4 \left(\ln \frac{\phi}{\sigma_0} - \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{\lambda\sigma_0^4}{16}, \quad (37)$$

and is known as the Coleman-Weinberg potential [50, 51, 52]. Here λ is the quadratic self-coupling of the field and σ_0 is the vacuum expectation value of the scalar field at minimum. The slow roll parameter for this model is $\epsilon = 1.16 \times 10^{-2}$ and the tensor spectral index is $n_T = -2.32 \times 10^{-2}$. Hence the tensor-to-scalar ratio is $r = 0.186$.

The hybrid inflation model is a multi-field model where inflation is driven by two scalar fields. The potential for this model is [53, 54],

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{4\lambda}(M^2 - \lambda\rho^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}g^2\phi^2\rho^2, \quad (38)$$

where the ϕ field is responsible for the normal slow-roll inflation while the field ρ triggers the end of inflation. The parameters g and λ are self-coupling constants of the inflaton field and the triggering field respectively and m and M are the masses of the fields. The slow roll parameter for this model is $\epsilon = 2.65 \times 10^{-4}$ and the tensor spectral index is $n_T = -5.3 \times 10^{-4}$. The tensor-to-scalar ratio is $r = 4.24 \times 10^{-3}$.

It is believed that the primordial gravitational waves have left its imprint on the cosmic microwave background which is to be observed through the B -mode polarization of CMB. Thus if we consider the primordial gravitational waves as massive then it is expected to have left its effect on the CMB polarization. Considering the mass limit set up by Ref.[14] for gravitational wave mass, $m_{gw} = 10^{-66}$ g ($\equiv 1.356 \times 10^{-19}$ Hz), any gravitational wave mass equal to or less than this mass limit would have indistinguishable effects compared to its massless counterpart. On the other hand gravitational waves with mass 10^{-26} cm^{-1} ($\equiv 2.997 \times 10^{-16}$ Hz) is expected to exhibit a signature on the BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum of CMB, especially at lower multipoles.

The BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum of CMB is given by [23, 55]

$$C_l^{BB} = (4\pi)^2 \int dk k^2 P_T(k) \left| \int_0^{\zeta_o} d\zeta g(\zeta) h_k(\zeta) \left\{ 4 \frac{j_l(x)}{x} + 2j'_l(x) \right\}_{x=k(\zeta_o-\zeta)} \right|^2, \quad (39)$$

where $j_l(x)$ is the spherical Bessel function and $g(\zeta) = \kappa e^{-\kappa}$ is the probability distribution of the last scattering with κ as the differential optical depth for the Thomson scattering.

The tensor power spectrum $P_T(k)$ corresponding to each model of inflation depends on the tensor spectral index n_T , which varies according to the effective potential of each inflation model. The tensor power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves can be written as

$$P_T(k) = A_T(k_0) \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{n_T} Z^2(k_o). \quad (40)$$

where $A_T(k_0)$ is the normalization constant and $Z(k_o)$ is the enhancement factor in terms of the wavenumber given by eq (25).




Figure 1: BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves with $m_{gw} = 2.9979 \times 10^{-16}$ Hz for quadratic chaotic inflation model for unlensed (top panel) and lensed (bottom panel) effects for various values of squeezing parameter and angle. The bottom panel shows lensed effect for massive and massless cases with BICEP2/Keck and Planck joint analysis result




Figure 2: BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves with thermal effect for $m_{gw} = 2.9979 \times 10^{-16}$ Hz for quadratic chaotic inflation model with unlensed (top panel) and lensed (bottom panel) effects for various values of squeezing parameter and angle. The bottom panel shows thermal and lensed effects for massive and massless cases with BICEP2/Keck and Planck joint analysis result




Figure 3: BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves with $m_{gw} = 2.9979 \times 10^{-16}$ Hz for quartic chaotic inflation model for unlensed (top panel) and lensed (bottom panel) effects for various values of squeezing parameter and angle. The bottom panel shows lensed effect for massive and massless cases with BICEP2/Keck and Planck joint analysis result




Figure 4: BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves with thermal effect for $m_{gw} = 2.9979 \times 10^{-16}$ Hz for quartic chaotic inflation model with unlensed (top panel) and lensed (bottom panel) effects for various values of squeezing parameter and angle. The bottom panels show thermal and lensed effects for massive and massless cases with BICEP2/Keck and Planck joint analysis result

The primordial gravitational waves are quantum mechanical in origin [17], during inflation, due to the parametric amplification of the zero-point quantum oscillations [19]. Hence, the massive gravitational waves can also be placed in the squeezed vacuum state and its effect on the BB mode correlation angular power spectrum of CMB can be studied. The tensor power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves in the squeezed vacuum state is obtained with help of the result given in Appendix, and eq (40). Thus, the tensor power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves in the squeezed vacuum state is obtained as

$$P_T(k) = A_T(k_0) \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{n_T} \left[1 + 2 \sinh^2 r_s + \sinh 2r_s \cos \left(\gamma + \left(\vartheta - \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi \right) \right] Z^2(k_o). \quad (41)$$

Hence, using Refs. [20], [25] and [26] the tensor power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves in the squeezed vacuum state with thermal effect is obtained as

$$P_T(k) = A_T(k_0) \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{n_T} \left[1 + 2 \sinh^2 r_s + \sinh 2r_s \cos \left(\gamma + \left(\vartheta - \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi \right) \right] \coth \left[\frac{k}{2T} \right] Z^2(k_o). \quad (42)$$

Next, we obtain the BB -mode correlation angular spectrum of CMB for primordial massive gravitational waves for quadratic chaotic inflation, quartic chaotic inflation, new inflation and hybrid inflation models with lensed and unlensed effects. The BB mode angular power spectrum for each inflation model is generated using the CAMB code with its corresponding n_T value. For all the cases, the pivot wave number for tensor mode is taken as $k_0 = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, for scalar mode it is $k_0 = 0.05 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ and the optical depth is taken as $\kappa = 0.08$. The angular power spectrum is recomputed for the massive gravitational waves for the aforementioned slow-roll inflationary models for various values of the squeezing parameter and angle with lensed and unlensed effects for the non-thermal as well as thermal cases.

The obtained BB mode correlation angular power spectra for various inflationary modes for the lensed effect are compared with the joint analysis of BICEP2/Keck Array and Planck data [27, 28]. Note that implemented limit here, that is $(BK \times BK - \alpha BK \times P)/(1 - \alpha)$ at $\alpha = \alpha_{fid} = 0.04$ is computed from the cross-spectra and auto-spectra of the combined BICEP2/Keck 150 GHz and Planck 353 GHz maps to remove the dust contribution. And $BK \times P$ indicates the cross-spectra of BICEP2/Keck maps at 150 GHz and Planck maps at 353 GHz and $BK \times BK$ indicates the BICEP2/Keck auto-spectra at 150 GHz. (see Ref.[28] for the details of the implementation of this combination for the limit taken).

The BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum for the massive GWs with mass $m_{gw} = 2.9979 \times 10^{-16} \text{ Hz}$ for the various slow roll inflationary models with unlensed and lensed effects for various values of squeezing parameter and angle for non-thermal case are given in Figs.1, 3, 5, and 7. The angular power spectrum with unlensed and lensed effects with squeezing and thermal features for the various inflationary models are given in Figs. 2, 4, 6, and 8.

The BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum of the CMB is obtained for the massive gravitational waves in the squeezed vacuum state for the various slow roll inflationary models with lensing, non-thermal and thermal effects. The corresponding angular spectra are compared with their massless counter parts as well as the joint analysis of BICEP2/Keck and Planck data (bottom panels of Figs.1-8). Notice that the angular power spectrum with respect to the higher multipoles are excluded in current analysis due to contamination from gravitational lensing effect. It can be seen that the angular power spectrum of massive gravitational waves exhibits more power, for all the slow roll models of inflation under the present work, compared




Figure 5: BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves with $m_{gw} = 2.9979 \times 10^{-16}$ Hz for new inflation model for unlensed (top panel) and lensed (bottom panel) effects for various values of squeezing parameter and angle. The bottom panel shows lensed effect for massive and massless cases with BICEP2/Keck and Planck joint analysis result




Figure 6: BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves with thermal effect for $m_{gw} = 2.9979 \times 10^{-16}$ Hz for new inflation model with unlensed (top panel) and lensed (bottom panel) effects for various values of squeezing parameter and angle. The bottom panel shows thermal and lensed effects for massive and massless cases with BICEP2/Keck and Planck joint analysis result




Figure 7: BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves with $m_{gw} = 2.9979 \times 10^{-16}$ Hz for hybrid inflation model for unlensed (top panel) and lensed (bottom panel) effects for various values of squeezing parameter and angle. The bottom panel shows lensed effect for massive and massless cases with BICEP2/Keck and Planck joint analysis result




Figure 8: BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum for the massive gravitational waves with thermal effect for $m_{gw} = 2.9979 \times 10^{-16}$ Hz for hybrid inflation model with unlensed (top panel) and lensed (bottom panel) effects for various values of squeezing parameter and angle. The bottom panel shows thermal and lensed effects for massive and massless cases with BICEP2/Keck and Planck joint analysis result




Figure 9: BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum for massive gravitational waves with $m_{gw} = 2.9979 \times 10^{-16}$ Hz for nonthermal (top panel) and thermal (bottom panel) cases for hybrid inflation model with lensing effect for various squeezing parameter and angle with BICEP2/Keck and Planck joint analysis result

to their massless counterpart. Thus the BB mode correlation angular power spectrum for the primordial massive gravitational waves get enhanced due to the squeezing and thermal effects. From the analysis of the results, it can be observed that the BB -mode angular power spectrum, for primordial gravitational waves in the squeezed vacuum state and thermal state, for all the inflationary models are out of the limit of the BICEP2/Keck and Planck joint analysis result except the hybrid inflation model (Fig. 9).

4 Conclusion

The BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum of CMB for the primordial massive gravitational waves is studied with squeezing and thermal effects for various slow roll inflationary models and are compared with its massless counterpart and the joint analysis of the BICEP2/Keck and Planck data. It can be seen that the angular correlation power spectrum for the primordial massive gravitational waves for the quadratic, quartic and new inflation models are out of the limit of the joint analysis of the BICEP2/Keck and Planck data. The BB -mode correlation angular power spectrum for massive gravitational waves in the squeezed vacuum state as well in thermal state for the hybrid inflation model is found with the BICEP2/Keck and Planck joint analysis data. Thus it may be concluded that the hybrid inflation model is most favorable model in comparison with the other slow roll inflationary models under the present study by considering the primordial massive gravitational waves in squeezed vacuum state with thermal effect. The present results do not rule out the existence of the primordial massive gravitational waves in squeezed vacuum and thermal states. Further, the BB mode correlation angular power spectrum corresponds to massless case can be recovered in absence of the massive gravity.

Acknowledgements

M acknowledges the financial support of Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi. P K S acknowledges financial support of DST-SERB, New Delhi. We would like to thank Planck and BICEP websites for the data.

A Tensor power spectrum in squeezed vacuum state

The squeezed vacuum state is defined as [56, 57]

$$|\xi\rangle = \Sigma(\xi)|0\rangle, \quad (43)$$

where $\Sigma(\xi)$ is the squeezing operator which can be written as

$$\Sigma(\xi) = \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \xi^* c^2 - \frac{1}{2} \xi c^{\dagger 2} \right], \quad (44)$$

where $c, c^\dagger$ are respectively the annihilation and creation operators, $\xi = r_s e^{i\gamma}$, r_s is the squeezing parameter with range $0 \leq r_s < \infty$ and the squeezing angle γ take values $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.

The application of the squeezing operator Σ on the creation and annihilation operators lead

to:

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \Sigma^\dagger(\xi)c\Sigma(\xi) = c \cosh r_s - c^\dagger e^{i\gamma} \sinh r_s, \\ c^\dagger &= \Sigma^\dagger(\xi)c^\dagger\Sigma(\xi) = c^\dagger \cosh r_s - ce^{-i\gamma} \sinh r_s. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

One can find the mean value for the creation and annihilation operators in the vacuum state and are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0|c_k(\zeta)|0\rangle &= \langle 0|c_k^\dagger(\zeta)|0\rangle = 0, \\ \langle 0|c_k(\zeta)c_{k'}(\zeta)|0\rangle &= u_k(\zeta)v_{k'}\delta^3(k+k'), \\ \langle 0|c_k^\dagger(\zeta)c_{k'}^\dagger(\zeta)|0\rangle &= u_k^*(\zeta)v_{k'}^*\delta^3(k+k'), \\ \langle 0|c_k(\zeta)c_{k'}^\dagger(\zeta)|0\rangle &= u_k(\zeta)u_{k'}^*\delta^3(k-k'), \\ \langle 0|c_k^\dagger(\zeta)c_{k'}(\zeta)|0\rangle &= v_k^*(\zeta)v_{k'}\delta^3(k-k'). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Where the complex functions $u_k(\zeta)$ and $v_k(\zeta)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &= e^{i\theta_s} \cosh r_s, \\ v_k &= e^{-i(\theta_s-2\gamma)} \sinh r_s, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

here r_s , γ and the rotation angle θ_s are real functions. The equations of motion of these complex functions are :

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{du_k}{d\zeta} &= ku_k + i\frac{S'}{S}v_k^*, \\ i\frac{dv_k}{d\zeta} &= kv_k + i\frac{S'}{S}u_k^*, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

which lead to the following equations that govern the three aforementioned real functions:

$$\begin{aligned} r_s' &= \frac{S'}{S} \cos 2\gamma, \\ \gamma' &= -k - \frac{S'}{S} \sin 2\gamma \coth 2r_s, \\ \theta_s' &= -k - \frac{S'}{S} \sin 2\gamma \tanh r_s. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

The power spectrum for the tensor perturbations can be obtained from the two point correlation function:

$$\langle h_k h_{k'}^* \rangle = \frac{2\pi^2}{k^3} P_T(k) \delta^3(k - k'), \quad (50)$$

where P_T is called the tensor power spectrum and the angle bracket denotes ensemble average.

The gravitational wave field can be written in terms of the mode function and the annihilation and creation operators, taking the contribution from each polarization to be the same,

$$h(\mathbf{x}, \zeta) = \frac{\sqrt{16\pi}l_p}{S(\zeta)(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^3\mathbf{k} [\nu_k(\zeta)c_k + \nu_k^*(\zeta)c_k^\dagger] e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \quad (51)$$

where

$$\nu_k(\zeta) = S(\zeta)h_k(\zeta)$$

gives the coupling of the mode function.

Using Eqs.45, 46, and (Eq.51), we get

$$\langle h_k h_{k'}^* \rangle = \frac{16\pi l_p^2}{S^2} [(|u_k|^2 + |v_k|^2)|\nu_k|^2 + u_k v_k \nu_k^2 + u_k^* v_k^* \nu_k^{*2}] \delta^3(k - k'), \quad (52)$$

and in squeezed vacuum state, Eq.52 becomes

$$\langle h_k h_{k'}^* \rangle = \frac{16\pi l_p^2}{S^2} \left[(1 + 2 \sinh^2 r_s) |\nu_k|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sinh 2r_s (\nu_k^2 e^{i\gamma} + \nu_k^{*2} e^{-i\gamma}) \right] \delta^3(k - k'). \quad (53)$$

From Eq.50 and Eq.53, the tensor power spectrum in the squeezed vacuum state is obtained as

$$P_T(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \frac{16\pi l_p^2}{S^2} \left[(1 + 2 \sinh^2 r_s) |\nu_k|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sinh 2r_s (\nu_k^2 e^{i\gamma} + \nu_k^{*2} e^{-i\gamma}) \right]. \quad (54)$$

By considering the quasi de Sitter universe during inflation, one gets $S(\zeta) = \frac{-1}{H\zeta(1-\epsilon)}$ and for small ϵ , $\vartheta = \frac{3}{2} + \epsilon$, and $n_T = -2\epsilon = 3 - 2\vartheta$.

For constant ϵ , the equation of motion is given by

$$\nu_k'' + \left[k^2 - \frac{1}{\zeta^2} \left(\vartheta^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \right] \nu_k = 0. \quad (55)$$

Its general solution is

$$\nu_k(\zeta) = \sqrt{-\zeta} [C_1(k) H_{\vartheta}^{(1)}(-k\zeta) + C_2(k) H_{\vartheta}^{(2)}(-k\zeta)], \quad (56)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are the integration constants and $H_{\vartheta}^{(1)}$ and $H_{\vartheta}^{(2)}$ are the Hankel functions.

For within the horizon ($k \gg SH$), the solutions of the above equation is

$$\nu_k^0(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} e^{-ik\zeta}.$$

Thus the constants of integration become

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(k) &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \exp \left[i \left(\vartheta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right], \\ C_2(k) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

In the case for long wavelength limit ($k \ll SH$), Eq.56 gives

$$\nu_k(\zeta) = e^{i(\vartheta - \frac{1}{2})(\frac{\pi}{2})} 2^{\vartheta - \frac{3}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\vartheta)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} (-k\zeta)^{\frac{1}{2} - \vartheta}. \quad (58)$$

Using Eq.58 in Eq.54, the tensor power spectrum for $k \ll SH$ superhorizon limit) is,

$$P_T(k) = 16\pi l_p^2 \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{SH} \right)^{3-2\vartheta} \left[1 + 2 \sinh^2 r_s + \sinh 2r_s \cos \left(\gamma + \left(\vartheta - \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi \right) \right]. \quad (59)$$

By taking $A_T(k_0) = 16\pi l_p^2 \left(\frac{H_{k_0}}{2\pi} \right)^2$ with H_{k_0} is the Hubble parameter at $SH = k_0$ during the inflation. Here k_0 is the pivot wave number.

The tensor power spectrum can be expressed in terms of the tensor spectral index, n_T , in squeezed vacuum state, as

$$P_T(k) = A_T(k_0) \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{n_T} \left[1 + 2 \sinh^2 r_s + \sinh 2r_s \cos \left(\gamma + (2 - n_T) \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right]. \quad (60)$$

This can be used to obtain the BB mode correlation angular power spectrum of CMB for the gravitational waves in the squeezed vacuum state.

References

- [1] M. Visser, *Gen. Rel. Grav* **30** (1998) 1717.
- [2] S. L. Dubovsky, P. G. Tinyakov and I. I. Tkachev, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **94** (2005) 181102.
- [3] M. Fierz and W. Pauli, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **A 173** (1939) 211.
- [4] H. van Dam and M. Veltman, *Nuclear Physics* **B22** (1970) 397.
- [5] V. I. Zakharov, *ZhETF Pis ma Redaktsiiu* **Vol. 12** (1970) 447.
- [6] A. I. Vainshtein, *Phys. Lett.* **B 22** (1972) 393.
- [7] D. G. Boulware and S. Deser *Phys. Rev. D* **6** (1972) 6.
- [8] C. de Rham, G. Gabadadze, A. J. Tolley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 231101.
- [9] C. de Rham, arXiv:1401.4173v2 [hep-th] (2014).
- [10] S. F. Hassan, R. A. Rosen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2002) 041101.
- [11] N. A. Hamed *et.al.*, *JHEP* **0405** (2004) 074.
- [12] D. Bessada and O. D. Miranda, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26** (2009) 045005.
- [13] S. Dubovsky, R. Flauger, A. Starobinsky and I. Tkachev, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 023523.
- [14] D. Bessada and O. D. Miranda, *JCAP* **0908** (2009) 033.
- [15] V. Rubakov, arXiv:hep-th/0407104v1 (2004).
- [16] S. L. Dubovsky, *JHEP* **0410** (2004) 076.
- [17] L. P. Grishchuk, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **40** (1975) 409.
- [18] L. P. Grishchuk, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **10** (1993) 2449.
- [19] L. P. Grishchuk, *Lect. Notes. Phys* **562** (2001) 167.
- [20] K. Bhattacharya, S. Mohanty and A. Nautiyal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 251301.
- [21] M. Kamionkowski, A. Kosowsky, A. Stebbins, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 2058.
- [22] M. Kamionkowski and E. D. Kovetz, arXiv:1510.06042.
- [23] D. Baskaran, L. P. Grishchuk and A. G. Polnarev, *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 083008.

- [24] L.P. Grishchuk, arXiv:0707.3319v4 [gr-qc] (2010).
- [25] B. Ghayour and P. K. Suresh, Int.J. Mod. Phys. **D22** 350002 (2013).
- [26] N. Malsawmtluangi and P. K. Suresh, arXiv: 1512.03141[astro-ph.CO].
- [27] website: www.bicepkeck.org.
- [28] P. A. R. Ade *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 101301.
- [29] M. V. Bebronne and P. G. Tinyakov, arXiv:0705.1301v2 [astro-ph] (2007).
- [30] A.E. Gumrukcuoglu *et.al.*, *Class. Quant. Grav.***29** (2012) 235026.
- [31] A. S. Goldhaber and M. M. Nieto, *Phys. Rev. D* **9** (1974) 1119.
- [32] C. Talmadge *et.al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** (1988) 1159.
- [33] C. M. Will arXiv:gr-qc/9709011v1 (1997).
- [34] L. S. Finn and P. J. Sutton, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 044022.
- [35] A. Cooray and N. Seto, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 103502.
- [36] S. S. Gershtein, A. A. Logunov, and M. A. Mestvirishvili, arXiv:hep-th/9711147v1 (1997).
- [37] R. H. Brandenberger, arXiv:hep-ph/9910410v1 (1999).
- [38] A. D. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology* (CRC Press, 1990).
- [39] J. Martin, C. Ringeval and V. Vennin, arXiv:1303.3787v3 [astro-ph.CO] (2013).
- [40] J. Martin, C. Ringeval, R. Trotta and V. Vennin, arXiv:1312.3529v3 [astro-ph.CO] (2014).
- [41] J. Martin, arXiv:1502.05733v1 [astro-ph.CO] (2015).
- [42] Z. K. Guo and D. J.Schwartz, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** 063523 (2009).
- [43] Z. K. Guo and D. J.Schwartz, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** 123520 (2010).
- [44] P. X. Jiang, J. W. Hu and Z. K. Guo, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** 123508 (2013).
- [45] D. J. Schwarz, C. A. Terrero-Escalante and A. A. Garcia, *Phys. Lett.* **B517** 243 (2001).
- [46] S. M. Leach, A. R. Liddle, J.Martin and D. J. Schwarz, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** 023515 (2002).
- [47] D. J. Schwarz, and C. A. Terrero-Escalante, *JCAP* 0408 (2004) 003.
- [48] A. H. Guth, *Phys. Rev. D* **23** (1981) 347.
- [49] A. D. Linde, *Phys. Lett. B* **129** (1983) 177.
- [50] A. H. Guth and S.Y. Pi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49** (1982) 1110.
- [51] S. R. Coleman and E. J. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. D* **7** (1973) 1888.
- [52] M. S. Turner, M. J. White and J. E. Lidsey, *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993)4613.
- [53] A. D. Linde, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (1994) 748.

- [54] J. G. Bellido and A.D. Linde, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 6075.
- [55] M. Zaldarriaga and U. Seljak, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997) 1830.
- [56] D. F. Walls, *Nature* **300** (1983) 141.
- [57] B. L. Schumaker, *Phys. Rep.* **135** (1986) 317.